



Arden University External Examiner's Annual Report 2017/18

Name of External Examiner	
External Examiner's Institution	
Date of Examining Board	
Title of Programme(s)/ Subject Area	
Date of Report	

This report should be completed and submitted electronically to the relevant Quality Team Leader within two weeks of the date of the Examining Board. For Business, Technology, and Graphic Design programmes this is Ewan Mellor (emellor@arden.ac.uk); Law, Joints, Psychology, and Health programmes, this is Carly White (cwhite@arden.ac.uk).

Claims for expenses and fees should also be submitted to the relevant Quality Team Leader. Please note that payment of expenses and fees will only be processed upon receipt of a satisfactory report.

SECTION A – Standards

Please indicate [X] your level agreement with each of the statements in each section and comment in more detail in the space below.

1. Academic Standards	Strongly agree	Generally agree	Generally disagree	Strongly disagree	Not applicable
1.1 The standards set for the modules were appropriate for the modules under consideration by the Examining Board					
1.2 The aims and intended learning outcomes for individual modules were clearly defined and made explicit to students in a published document					

Comment on Academic Standards:

2. Assessment	Strongly agree	Generally agree	Generally disagree	Strongly disagree	Not applicable
2.1 Assessments in modules of the same level are of a comparable standard					
2.2 Assessment criteria and marking schemes are set at the appropriate level					
2.3 The types of assessment are appropriate for the subject, students, the respective level of study and the expected outcomes					

Comment on Assessment:

3. Marking and Assessment Procedures	Strongly agree	Generally agree	Generally disagree	Strongly disagree	Not applicable
3.1 The quality and quantity of written feedback to students on assessed work is consistent and appropriate.					
3.2 The profile of student marks across the modules were sampled appropriately					
3.3 Procedures governing mitigation/extenuating circumstances, academic integrity/misconduct and borderline performances have been considered fairly and in accordance with Arden University's regulations.					

Comment on Assessment Procedures:

4. Student Achievement	Strongly agree	Generally agree	Generally disagree	Strongly disagree	Not applicable
4.1 Based on my experience at other institutions, standards are comparable with other UK HEIs.					
4.2 Based on my experience at other institutions, student achievement is comparable with other UK HEIs.					
4.3 Standards and student achievement are comparable across the modules within my remit.					
4.4 Standards and student achievement are comparable across all cohorts and delivery modes. (Where applicable).					

Comment on Student Achievement:

SECTION B – Quality and Enhancement

Please comment and/or provide recommendations in the boxes below.

5. Please comment on the currency of the curriculum and the quality of delivery.

6. Please identify any areas of good practice relating to learning, teaching and assessment that you have observed.

7. Opportunities to enhance the quality of learning opportunities provided to students.

8. Please note any issues which require attention.

9. If applicable, please state whether issues raised in your previous report(s) have been/are being addressed to your satisfaction.

10. Any other comments.